

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 04/13/2001 09/833,815

Shinobu Hasegawa

Q64020

5723

7590

07/02/2004

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202

EXAMINER JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3726

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,815	HASEGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc Jimenez	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a characteristic half at the street the application in	đ
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. ☑ Other: <u>Attachment: Interview Summary</u>			
	•	Marc Jimene Marc Jimenez AU 3726 6/29/04	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that Hiki does not disclose a substantially unshrinkable sleeve which is heat-welded directly on to the surface of the core roller, it is noted that as shown in fig. 3, the unshrinkable sleeve (44) is heat-welded (col. 3, line 13, "sleeve melting") directly on to the surface of the core roller (16). Applicant agrees that: "... Hiki indicates an elastomeric sleeve (44) bonded to the pulley/roller (16)..." on page 4, line 4 of the response filed 5/18/04. Sleeve melting is considered "heat-welded". As discussed in the response filed 12/30/03, Applicant states: "Therefore, it is clear that after being heated, the unshrinkable sleeve on the core roller melts or softens at the contact face, so that the sleeve is welded on the core roller.". Therefore, "sleeve melting" in Hiki is considered "heat-welded". Applicant argues that Hiki discloses that the elastomeric sleeve member (44) is wetted with a lubricant liquid such as silicone oil, it is noted, however, that the claims do not preclude additional structure. Similarily, the roller of applicant's invention is wetted with toner.